

JOSH GILLON

Department of Philosophy
Room 212 1879 Hall
Princeton, NJ 08544
(609) 356-3856
jgillon@princeton.edu

EDUCATION

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

Ph.D., expected 2011
Department of Philosophy

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

M.A., 2009
Department of Philosophy
Joint Program in Classical Philosophy

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY

B.A., 2006
Majors: Philosophy; Classical Studies (Greek Emphasis)
Minors: Psychology; Logic

TEACHING AND RESEARCH INTERESTS

AREAS OF SPECIALIZATION

Aesthetics
Ancient Philosophy
Metaethics

AREAS OF COMPETENCE

Applied Ethics
Logic
Nietzsche
Philosophy of Language
Philosophy of Mind

FELLOWSHIPS, AWARDS, AND HONORS

The Lawrence S. Rockefeller Graduate Prize Fellowship	2010–11 Princeton University
University Center for Human Values Graduate Prize Fellowship	2010–11 Princeton University
Stanley J. Seeger Fellowship (Declined)	2010 Princeton University

McGraw Center for Teaching and Learning Fellowship	2009–10 Princeton University
Nominee, Graduate Student Teaching Award	2008 Princeton University
Graduate School Summer Stipend	2007–11 Princeton University
Graduate School Fellowship	2006–11 Princeton University
Second Place, David H. Yarn Philosophical Essay Contest	2005 Brigham Young University
Nominee, Phi Kappa Phi Essay Writing Award	2004 Brigham Young University
Phi Kappa Phi	2004 Brigham Young University

PUBLICATIONS

“Why <i>30 Rock</i> is Not Funny (It’s Metafunny)”	Under review at <i>Philosophy and Literature</i>
--	---

PRESENTED PAPERS

“Artworks and Interpretations”	January 2011 University of California, Los Angeles
“Art, Interpretation, and the Law”	January 2011 Princeton University
“Artworks and Intentions”	UCHV Graduate Prize Fellows Group October 2010 Princeton University
“Two Aesthetic Theories”	The Philosophical Society September 2010 Princeton University
“On the Interpretations (<i>Plural</i>) of Artworks”	Dissertation Seminar September 2010 Princeton University
“Why <i>30 Rock</i> is Not Funny (It’s Metafunny)”	The Philosophical Society October 2009 Princeton University
“A Logical Inquiry into Parmenides’ Use of <i>Einaí</i> ”	The Oxford/Princeton Seminar January 2008 Princeton University

“Faith in the Third Evangelist”

The Oxford/Princeton Seminar
January 2007
University of Oxford

TEACHING

SPRING 2010

Princeton University

Nietzsche (PHI 306)

Assistant in Instruction for Alexander Nehamas

(Led two weekly discussion groups, graded papers, gave a lecture on “The Logical Structure of Nietzsche’s *Genealogy*,” held regular office hours)

FALL 2009

Princeton University

Practical Ethics (CHV 310/PHI 385)

Assistant in Instruction for Peter Singer

(Led a weekly discussion group, graded papers and exams, held regular office hours)

Introduction to Logic (PHI 201)

Assistant in Instruction for Delia Fara

(Led three weekly review sessions, graded problem sets and exams, held regular office hours)

SPRING 2009

Princeton University

Nietzsche (PHI 306)

Assistant in Instruction for Alexander Nehamas

(Led two weekly discussion groups, graded papers, gave a lecture on “The Eternal Recurrence and Early Greek Philosophy,” held regular office hours)

FALL 2008

Princeton University

Systematic Ethics (PHI 307)

Assistant in Instruction for Catherine Wilson

(Led a weekly discussion group; graded quizzes, papers, and exams; gave lectures on “Moral Fictionalism” and “Understanding the Frege-Geach Problem;” held regular office hours)

SPRING 2008

Princeton University

Introduction to Logic (PHI 201)

Assistant in Instruction for Adam Elga

(Led three weekly review sessions; graded problem sets, quizzes, and exams; held regular office hours)

FALL 2007

Princeton University

Introduction to Ethics (PHI 202)

Assistant in Instruction for Michael Smith

(Led two weekly discussion groups, graded papers and exams, held regular office hours)

SPRING 2005

Brigham Young University

Introduction to Psychology (PSYCH 111)

Teaching Assistant for Claudia Clayton

(Led weekly review sessions, graded quizzes, gave weekly lectures on various topics in psychology)

WINTER 2005

Brigham Young University

Metalogic (PHIL 405)

Teaching Assistant for Chris Foster (with permission of K. Codell Carter)
(Held regular office hours)

Predicate Logic (PHIL 305)

Teaching Assistant for K. Codell Carter

(Led occasional review sessions; graded quizzes, problem sets, and exams; held regular office hours)

Deductive Logic (PHIL 205)

Teaching Assistant for K. Codell Carter

(Led occasional review sessions; graded quizzes, problem sets, and exams; held regular office hours)

Teaching of Psychology (PSYCH 410R)

Teaching Assistant for Claudia Clayton

(Led a weekly discussion group)

Introduction to Psychology (PSYCH 111)

Teaching Assistant for Claudia Clayton

(Led a weekly discussion group; graded quizzes, papers, and exams; gave semi-weekly lectures on various topics in psychology)

FALL 2004

Brigham Young University

Deductive Logic (PHIL 205)

Teaching Assistant for K. Codell Carter

(Led occasional review sessions; graded quizzes, problem sets, and exams; held regular office hours; gave tri-weekly lectures on logic for a six-week period during the professor's absence)

Introduction to Psychology (PSYCH 111)

Teaching Assistant for Claudia Clayton

(Led a weekly discussion group; graded quizzes, papers, and exams)

WINTER 2004

Brigham Young University

Introduction to Psychology (PSYCH 111)

Teaching Assistant for Claudia Clayton

(Led a weekly discussion group; graded quizzes, papers, and exams)

RESEARCH ASSISTANCE

Research Assistant for Daniel W. Graham

April 2005–April 2006
Brigham Young University

Research Assistant for Claudia Clayton

January 2005–June 2005
Brigham Young University

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Classical Philosophy Library Committee	2010–11
	Department of Philosophy, Princeton University
Graduate Student Representative	2009–10
	Department of Philosophy, Princeton University

LANGUAGES

Ancient Greek (read), Latin (read), German (read), Spanish (fluent), Portuguese (read)

DISSERTATION ABSTRACT

ON THE NATURE, INTERPRETATION, AND EVALUATION OF ARTWORKS

I argue that the question “What is an artwork?” is best answered by institutional theories of art—those that define “artwork” in terms of our institutional art-related practices. Institutional theories of art, in combination with seemingly plausible assumptions, lead naturally to a family of related views: intentionalism about artworks (the idea that whether something is an artwork is determined by the intentions with which it was made), intentionalism about artistic meaning (the idea that how an artwork should be interpreted is determined by the intentions with which it is made), and intentionalism about artistic merit (the idea that the value or merits of an artwork are determined by the intentions with which it was made). Different forms of intentionalism have become increasingly popular in recent years. However, the case for them is unconvincing. More importantly, intentionalism about artistic merit and intentionalism about artistic meaning depend for their plausibility on a misunderstanding of the interpretation of artworks. I argue for a theory of interpretation that makes better sense of our everyday notion of interpretation than the intentionalist view does, that fits better than the intentionalist view with our everyday practice of understanding and criticizing works of art, and that perfectly coheres with institutional theories of art. Roughly, to interpret an artwork is to use it as evidence for the answer to some question one has. This theory of interpretation explains why there is no such thing as *the* meaning of an artwork. It also grounds a view of the evaluation of artworks that explains, among other things, why artworks are such a useful means of evaluating people: our evaluations of artworks are symptomatic of what questions we value having answers to as well as how those answers resonate with our other values.

Primary Advisor: Alexander Nehamas
 Secondary Advisor: Gideon Rosen